Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–25965 Filed 10–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5229-9]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared October 02, 1995 Through October 06, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260–5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L65174-AK Rating EC2, Eight Fathom Timber Sales, Implementation, COE Section 404 Permit and EPA NPDES, Tongass National Forests, Hoonah and Sitka Ranger District, Chatham Area, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding direct and cumulative impacts to water quality. Additional site specific information on bark accumulation is needed to assess impacts to the marine environment. EPA also requested additional discussion of best management practices and water quality monitoring.

ERP No. D-FHW-E40760-NC Rating EC2, Sunset Beach Bridge No. 198 on Secondary Road NC-1172 Replacement, Over the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Funding, COE Section 10 and 404 Permit, Brunswick County, NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections with alternatives C1 and W1 due to water quality, wetlands and noise impacts. EPA prefers alternative C4 as it would result in the least water quality and other impacts.

ERP No. D-IBR-K39053-CA Rating LO, South Bay Water Recycling Program (SBWRP), Development and Construction, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Golden Triangle Area, City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of environmental objections to the proposed action.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-K65240-CA Barkley Fire Salvage Sale, Implementation, Lower Deer Creek Management Area, Lassen National Forest, Almanor Ranger District, Tehama County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65235-ID Boise River Wildfire Recovery Project, Implementation, North Fork Boise River and Mores Creek Drainages, Boise National Forest, Idaho City and Mountain Home Ranger Districts, Boise and Elmore Counties, ID.

Summary: EPA provided no formal written comments. EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS.

ERP No. F-BOP-D81025-PA Federal Prison Camp—Scranton, Pennsylvania, Construction, Operation and Site Selection, Jessup Borough, Lackawanna County, PA.

Summary: EPA still expressed environmental concern regarding alternative site analysis and cumulative impact analysis.

Dated: October 17, 1995.
William D. Dickerson,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office
of Federal Activities.
[FR Doc. 95–26090 Filed 10–19–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

[ER-FRL-5229-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260–5076 OR (202) 260–5075. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed October 9, 1995 Through October 13, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 950461, Final EIS, AFS, CA, Paper Reforestation and Resource Recovery Project, Implementation, Stanislaus National Forest, Mi-Wok Ranger District, Tuolumne County, CA, Due: November 20, 1995, Contact: Ann L. Denton (209) 586–3224.

EIS No. 950462, Draft EIS, FHW, NC, Winston-Salem Northern Beltway, (Eastern Section) from US 52 North of Winston-Salem to US 421/I–40 Business east of Winston-Salem, Construction, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Forsyth County, NC, Due: December 4, 1995, Contact: Nicholas L. Graf (919) 856–4350. EIS No. 950463, Draft Supplement, FHW, KS, South Lawrence Trafficway Construction, Kansas Turnpike/I–70 to KS–10/Noria Road, New Information Concerning KS–10 on the East and US 59 on the West, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and Right-of-Way Acqusition, Douglass County, KS, Due: December 4, 1995, Contact: Mark Sehr (913) 267–7284.

EIS No. 950464, Draft EIS, COE, FL, Programmatic EIS—Florida's Everglades Stormwater Treatment Area Construction Project, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, Implementation, Lake Okeechobee, Palm Beach and Hendry Counties, FL, Due: December 4, 1995, Contact: William Porter (904) 232–2259.

EIS No. 950465, Final EIS, NPS, CA, Santa Rosa Island Development Concept Plan, Implementation and Funding, Channel Islands National Park, Santa Barbara County, CA, Due: November 20, 1995, Contact: Mack C. Shaver (805) 658–5700.

EIS No. 950466, Final EIS, AFS, WY, Tie Hack Dam and Reservoir Construction, Special-Use-Permit, NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits, Bighorn National Forest, Buffalo Ranger District, City of Buffalo, WY, Due: November 20, 1995, Contact: John W. Almond (307) 672–0751.

EIS No. 950467, Final EIS, DOE, WA, Safe Interim Storage of Hanford Tank Wastes, Double Shell Tanks (DSTs) and Cross Site Transfer System (CSTS) Construction, Hanford Site, Adams, Richland, Washington, Benton and Franklin Counties, WA, Due: November 20, 1995, Contact: Carolyn C. Jones (509) 372–2731.

EIS No. 950468, Final EIS, FHW, PA, US 22/322/PA–22/Section 002/River Route Improvements, Dauphin Borough to Speeceville and a Section of PA–225 through Dauphin Borough Improvements, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Harrisburg, Dauphin County, PA, Due: November 20, 1995, Contact: Manual A. Marks (717) 782–3461.

EIS No. 950469, Draft Supplement, COE, NY, NJ, Passaic River Basin Flood Control Plan, Implementation, Updated Information to extend tunnel outlet from Upstream Terminus to Newark Bay, Passaic, Bergen, Morris, Essex and Hudson Counties, NJ and Rockland and Orange Counties, NY, Due: December 19, 1995, Contact: John S. Wright (201) 656–4749.

EIS No. 950470, Final EIS, DOE, SC, Savannah River Site Interim Management of Nuclear Materials, Implementation, Aiken and Barnwell Counties, SC, Due: November 20, 1995, Contact: Andrew R. Grainger (803) 725–1523.

EIS No. 950471, Final EIS, USA, IN, Jefferson Proving Ground Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Town of Madison, Jefferson, Jennings and Ripley Counties, IN, Due: November 20, 1995, Contact: James Davidson (703) 274–5510.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 950315, Draft EIS, EPA, NJ,
Hackensack Meadows District (HMD)
Special Area Management Plan
(SAMP), Development and
Implementation, COE Section 10 and
404 Permit Issuance, NJ, Due:
November 3, 1995, Contact: Robert W.
Hargrove (212) 637–3495. Published
FR—7–21–95—Review period
extended.

EIS No. 950366, DRAFT EIS, BLM, MT, Zortman and Landusky Mines Reclamation Plan Modifications and Mine Life Extensions, Approval of Mine Operation, Mine Reclamation and COE Section 404 Permits, Little Rocky Mountains, Phillip County, MT, Due: November 1, 1995, Contact: David L. Mari (406) 538–7461. Published FR 8–18–95—Review period extended.

Dated: October 17, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95–26091 Filed 10–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections Being Reviewed by FCC For Extension Under Delegated Authority 5 CFR Part 1320 authority

October 13, 1995.

The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commissions burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

The FCC is reviewing the following information collection requirements for possible 3-year extension under delegated authority 5 CFR 1320, authority delegated to the Commission by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

Written comments should be submitted on or before December 19, 1995. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to dconway@fcc.gov.

For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202–418–0217 or via internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

OMB Approval Number: 3060–0436. Title: Cordless Telephone Security Coding.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of existing collection.

Resopondents: Businesses or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 100. Estimated Time Per Response: 2 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 200 hours. Needs and Uses: Cordless telephones must incorporate digital security coding to protect the public switched telphone network from unintentional seizure and dialing, and user's from unintentionally ringing. An attestation of compliance, and the means and procedures used to ensure the required protection must accompany any application for authorization filed for the subject equipment.

OMB Approval Number: 3060–0004. Title: Environmental Information Collection Requirements Sections 1.1307, 1.1308, 1.1311.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of existing collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households; State, local or tribal governments; Not-for-profit institutions; Businesses and other for-profit; Small businesses or organizations.

Number of Respondents: 1,156. Estimated Time Per Response: 2.1 hour.

Total Annual Burden: 2,428 hours. Needs and Uses: Under the terms of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, the FCC is required to determine whether the transmitters it licenses or authorizes significantly affect the quality of the human environment. Since the emission of potentially hazardous radiofrequency radiation is one of several possible environmental effects, the Commission has established rules for evaluating human exposure to RF radiation from FCC-regulated transmitters. The environmental information required by section 1.1307 is reviewed by attorneys, engineers and paraprofessionals to determine whether the environmental evaluation is sufficiently complete and in compliance with the Commission's Rules to be acceptable for filing. If collection of this information were not made the FCC would not ensure compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), specifically, to minimize the potential for signficant environmental impact from radiofrequency (RF) radiation from FCC-regulated facilities.

OMB Approval Number: 3060-0421.

Title: Price Cap Rules. Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Exension of existing collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 13. Estimated Time Per Response: 20 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 1040 hours. Needs and Uses: Under the Commission's price cap rules, tariffs for new services are supported by new service showings which may include the results of net revenue test. As part of the net revenue tests, carriers project their future revenue and costs for new services. Carriers then file the required reports to enable the Commission and the public to compare their actual revenues and costs with their earlier projections. The Commission staff uses these reports to guage the accuracy of these carriers' earlier forecasts so that it can better evaluate the reliability of future new service showings. Without such an evalutation, the risk of unreasonable or otherwise unlawful rates would be significantly increased, to the detriment of those who subscribe to these services.

OMB Approval Number: 3060–0307. Title: Section 90.629(a) Extended implemention period.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extention of existing collection.

Respondents: State, local or tribal governments; Businesses or other for-